## EXHIBIT C

Kodak/RIM Litigation Page 1 of 2

## Case 3:08-cv-02075-K Document 125-3 Filed 04/19/10 Page 2 of 3 PageID 3896

From: Thomas H. Reger II

Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2009 11:31 AM

To: msupko@crowell.com

Cc: John McDowell

Subject: RE: Kodak/RIM Litigation

## Mark,

Now that the parties' Opening Markman Briefs are done, we are continuing to review Kodak's most recent production, which includes additional prior art analysis by other defendants. For the reasons detailed in my earlier letters of November 2 and 23 (as well as emails before that), RIM plans to ask the Court for leave to supplement our invalidity contentions, in view of testimony, references, Kodak supplements to its 3-2 prior art identifications, and other information that was learned after service of the original invalidity contentions on July 15, 2009. RIM received this information through discovery, namely Kodak testimony or production, which RIM was diligent in pursuing from Kodak. That being said, and as you requested, the art we plan to specifically chart using information learned from Kodak is identified below.

For the '335 Patent, the following prior art provides the basis for the supplemental contentions:

- 1. ECAM Camera
- 2. Hawkeye Camera
- 3. PC Camera Concept Breadboard
- 4. Chameleon Program
- 5. 5,401,170 Patent to Parulski

And for the '161 Patent, the following art provides the basis for the supplemental contentions:

- 1. McDonnell Douglas offer for sale
- 2. Jazz
- 3. Apollo DOMAIN
- Gutenberg kernel
- 5. CLOUDS
- 6. Eden
- 7. Intermedia
- 8. Cronus
- 9. Ability
- 10. Xerox ViewPoint
- 11. TABS
- 12. Matchmaker from Carnegie Mellon

To reiterate, all of this information was in the possession of Kodak, and was requested by RIM in March (again, our invalidity contentions were served in July). Also, given this most recent production by Kodak, we plan to supplement our contentions to expressly refer to or incorporate Dr. Hunt's invalidity analysis and charts from the ITC action (involving the '335 and '218 patents). Please let me know if Kodak will oppose our request; if Kodak does not oppose, then RIM will file a "barebones" unopposed motion for leave.

Of course, if you would like to discuss, I am available at 214.292.4084. Thank you. Tom Reger

**From:** Supko, Mark [mailto:MSupko@crowell.com] **Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 8:04 AM

Kodak/RIM Litigation Page 2 of 2

**To:** Thomas H. Reger II **Cc:** John McDowell

Subject: Kodak/RIM Litigation

Please see the attached correspondence.

<<11-25-09 Ltr to Reger.PDF>> Mark

Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004 202.624.2734 (ph) 202.628.5116 (fax)

This message may contain privileged and confidential information. IF IT WAS SENT TO YOU BY MISTAKE, DO NOT READ IT. Instead, please notify the sender (or postmaster@crowell.com) by reply e-mail, and delete this e-mail. Unauthorized dissemination, forwarding or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited.

\_\_\_\_\_